| STATE OF NEW YORK |) | |
|-------------------|---|------|
| |) | ss.: |
| COUNTY OF ORANGE |) | |

Cheryl R. Churney, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

I am not a party of this action, am over 18 years of age, and reside at Newburgh, New York.

On June 27, 2007, I served the within Order for Court Conference with Civil Case Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order via Electronic Mail, by scanning a true copy thereof and attaching it in an electronic message, addressed to the following person at the electronic mail address provided:

Peter Contini, Esq.

pcontini@lbcclaw.com

L'Abbate, Balkan, Colavita & Contini, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Sieba, Ltd.

and by regular first class mail, by depositing a true copy thereof, in a post-paid wrapper, in an official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the U.S. Postal Service within New York State, addressed to the following person at the last known address set forth after each name:

Peter Contini, Esq. L'Abbate, Balkan, Colavita & Contini, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Sieba, Ltd. 1001 Franklin Avenue Garden City, NY 11530

Cheryl R. Churney

Sworn to before me this 21^{14} day of June, 2007.

Notary Public

CAROL M. SCHEER
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01SC4742131
Qualified in Orange County
Commission Expires August 31, 20

RIDER, WEINER & FRANKEL, P.C.

ATTORNEYS & COUNSELLORS AT LAW

DAVID L. RIDER
CHARLES E. FRANKEL
MICHAEL J. MATSLER
DONNA M. BADURA
MAUREEN CRUSH
MARK C. TAYLOR
RODERICK E. DE RAMON
M. JUSTIN RIDER (NY AND FL)
SHAY A. HUMPHREY
JEFFREY S.E. SCULLEY (NY AND FL)
MICHELLE F. RIDER (NY AND FL)

655 LITTLE BRITAIN ROAD NEW WINDSOR, NEW YORK 12553

(MAILING ADDRESS:)

POST OFFICE BOX 2280

NEWBURGH, NEW YORK 12550

TEL. (845) 562-9100

FAX (845) 562-9126

M.J. RIDER (1906-1968) ELLIOTT M. WEINER (1915-1990)

STEPHEN P. DUGGAN, III BRUCE C. DUNN, SR. COUNSEL

MOACYR R. CALHELHA CRAIG F. SIMON DAVID E. TOWER OF COUNSEL

firm@riderweiner.com www.riderweiner.com

June 27, 2007

Via Email: pcontini@lbcclaw.com and First Class Mail

Peter Contini, Esq. L'Abbate, Balkan, Colavita & Contini, LLP 1001 Franklin Avenue Garden City, NY 11530

Re:

Sikorski v. Fulton Chevrolet-Cadillac Co., Inc., et al

Case No. 07 Civ 3906 Our File No. 3477.1

Dear Mr. Contini:

Enclosed please find the stipulation extending time by which your client Sieba, Ltd. has to answer the complaint, signed by Mr. Sculley. Please be so kind as to provide us with a fully executed copy for our records.

Enclosed for service upon your client please find the Order for Court Conference with civil case discovery plan and scheduling order. Please note that, as you discussed with Mr. Sculley yesterday, the court has directed a conference to be held on July 20, 2007 at 9:00 a.m.

Sincerely,

Cheryl R. Churney

Paralegal, Litigation Department

:crc

Encs.

cc:

Mr. and Mrs. Sikorski

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
CATHERINE V. SIKORSKI and
JOHN SIKORSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

ORDER FOR COURT CONFERENCE

Rev. May 2007

-against-

07 Cv. 3906(CLB)(LMS)

FULTON CHEVROLET-CADILLAC CO., INC., HIGH POINT CHEVROLET, INC., SIEBA, LTD., FULTON/HIGH POINT CHEVROLET GROUP HEALTH BENEFIT PROGRAM, AVEMCO INSURANCE COMPANY, and AVEMCO INSURANCE AGENCY, INC.,

Defendant(s).

To: The Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s)

Sufficient cause appearing, counsel for all parties herein shall attend a conference at the time and place fixed below, for the purpose of Case Management and scheduling pursuant to Rule 16, F.R. Civ. P.

YOU ARE DIRECTED TO NOTIFY ALL ATTORNEYS IN THIS ACTION IN WRITING.

DATE AND PLACE OF CONFERENCE:

FRIDAY, JULY 20, 2007, AT THE UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE, WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK, IN COURTROOM 218 AT 9:00 A.M.

SUBJECTS FOR CONSIDERATION AT THE CONFERENCE:

1. The Court will request from each attorney, beginning with Plaintiff's counsel, a brief oral statement reporting the status of the case, setting forth the factual and legal basis for the claims or defenses and outlining the nature of the dispute(s) to be adjudicated. Subject matter jurisdiction will be considered, as well as any other issue which may be relevant to case management.

- The Court will inquire whether the defense of qualified immunity from suit has been or will be asserted by any defendant(s) with respect to any claims(s) in the case.
- In cases where Rule 26(f) F.R.Civ.P. applies, counsel for the parties shall confer in compliance therewith at least twentyone (21) days prior to the scheduled conference to agree upon a proposed discovery plan which shall assure trial readiness within six (6) months of the date of the conference. If so advised, a written report generated pursuant to Rule 26(f) may be attached to and incorporated in the Court's Civil Case Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order. A longer period than six months to become ready for trial will be granted by the Court only after hearing counsel, and where the interests of Justice require. Please fill out the Court's form of Civil Case Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order by agreement of counsel and bring it with you to the Courthouse. Use of the official form is preferred. In the absence of an agreement, the Court will impose its own Civil Case Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order at the conference after hearing counsel.
- Where there is written consent to trial before the Magistrate Judge, trial will be held on an agreed date certain, and the Magistrate Judge will prepare or amend the Civil Case Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order consistently with such date.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

White Plains, New York

May 24, 2007

Charles L. Bries Charles L. Brieant, U.S.D.J.

Case 7:07-cv-03906-CLB Document 11 Filed 06/27/2007 Page 5 of 7

Page 3 of 5 Filed 05/25/2007 Case 7:07-cv-03906-CLB Document 3 Rev. January 2006 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ___X CATHERINE V. SIKORSKI and JOHN SIKORSKI, CIVIL CASE DISCOVERY PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER Plaintiff(s), - against -07 Civ. 3906 (CLB) (LMS) FULTON CHEVROLET-CADILLAC CO., INC., HIGH POINT CHEVROLET, INC., SIEBA, LTD., FULTON/HIGH POINT CHEVROLET GROUP HEALTH BENEFIT PROGRAM, AVEMCO INSURANCE COMPANY, and AVEMCO INSURANCE AGENCY, INC., Defendant(s). This Court requires that this case shall be ready for trial on or after January 18, 2008. The following Civil Case Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order is adopted, after consultation with counsel for the parties, pursuant to Rules 26(f) and 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The case (is) (is not) to be tried to a jury. Joinder of additional parties must be accomplished by ______. Amended pleadings may be filed until ______ Discovery:

- 1. Interrogatories are to be served by all counsel no later than responses to such interrogatories shall be served within thirty (30) days thereafter. The provisions of Local Civil Rule 33.3 (shall) (shall not) apply to this case.
- 2. First request for production of documents, if any, to be served no later than_____.
- 3. Depositions to be completed by _____
 - Unless counsel agree otherwise or the Court so orders, depositions are not to be a. held until all parties have responded to any first requests for production of documents.
 - Depositions shall proceed concurrently. Ъ.

c. Whenever possible, unless counsel agree otherwise or the Court so orders, non-party depositions shall follow party depositions.

- d. If the defense of qualified immunity from suit as a matter of law has been or will be asserted by any defendant(s) with respect to any claim(s) in the case, counsel for any such defendant(s) shall, within thirty (30) days of this order depose plaintiff(s) at least concerning all facts relevant to the issue of qualified immunity. Within thirty (30) days thereafter defendant(s) shall serve consistent with Local Rule 6.1 and file a motion under Rule 12(c) or Rule 56, returnable on a date posted in the New York Law Journal by Judge Brieant for hearing motions. The motion shall, in the absence of agreement of counsel, be limited to the issue of qualified immunity, and plaintiff(s) version of the events shall be assumed true for purposes of the motion. Failure to comply with this provision of this Order shall operate as a waiver of the opportunity to resolve the issue of qualified immunity by motion prior to trial.

Joint Pretrial Order is required only if counsel for all parties agree that it is desirable, or the Court so orders.

This case has been designated to the Hon. Lisa Margaret Smith, United States Magistrate Judge at White Plains for discovery disputes if the Court is "unavailable" and for trial under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) if counsel execute their consent in writing.

Strict compliance with the trial readiness date will be required. This Plan and Order may not be changed without leave of the Court or the assigned Magistrate Judge acting under a specific reference order.

Upon signing a Consent for Trial Before a United States Magistrate Judge, the Magistrate Judge will establish an agreed date certain for trial and will amend this Plan and Order to provide for trial readiness consistent with that agreed date.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: White Plains, New York

Charles L. Brieant, U.S.D.J.